# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman)

& The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar (Administrative Member)

### Case No. OA-859 OF 2017

ARPITA MONDAL ROY & ANR. Vs. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.			
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3	
<u>15</u> 28.11.19	For the Applicants : Mr.S.N.Ray Advocate		
	For the Respondent : Mr.G.P.Banerjee Advocate		
	In this application, Arpita Mondal Roy and		
	Soma Guchhait, the applicants have prayed for certain		
	reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as under:		
	" (a) An order do issue thereby		
	setting aside/ quashing/ revoking/		
	rescinding/ withdrawing/ cancelling the		
	order of rejecting the candidature of the		
	applicants herein as published in the		
	Official Website of the respondent		
	authorities, and after setting aside to issue		
	appointment letter to the post of "Lady		
	Constable" within a stipulated time		
	period.		
	(b) An order do issue directing the		
	respondent authorities to appoint your		
	applicant to the post of Lady Constables in		
	West Bengal Police, forthwith without any		

Form No.	
i di ili ind.	***************************************

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Case No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
---------------------------------------	--	---

benefits.

(c) An order do issue directing the respondent authorities to transmit all relevant records and papers pertaining to the selection of your applicant to the post of Lady Constable under the respondent authorities for the year 2013 so that conscionable justice be delivered".

further delay with all consequential

The matter was taken up yesterday when Mr.G.P.Banerjee, learned advocate for the State respondents, on instruction, submitted that the application should be dismissed as on the self same selection process of Lady Constable of 2013 the applicants along with other applicants have moved an application being OA-1281 of 2014; Sulekha Hansda & 8 Ors. Vs. The State of West Bengal and Others, which was disposed of on 15th July, 2015 by passing an order. Pursuant to such submission a direction was issued that the matter should appear today under the heading "For Order" and the Registry was directed to produce the record of OA-1281 of 2014. The Registry has

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.

Case No.		
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	produced the record. The record has been inspected by	
	Mr.S.N.Ray, learned advocate for the applicant and	
	Mr.G.P.Banerjee, learned advocate for the State	
	respondent.	
	We find that in OA-1281 of 2014, Soma	
	Guchhait and Arpita Mondal Roy were the applicant	
	nos. 3 and 4 respectively.	
	After perusing the records of OA-1281 of	
	2014, Mr.Ray submits that since the applicants did not	
	inform him that they had earlier moved an application	
	with regard to the self same cause of selection process,	
	he is unaware of this fact and may be allowed to	
	withdraw the application with no liberty to move in	
	future on the self same cause of action which is	
	opposed by Mr.Banerjee, on the ground that the	
	present application has been moved suppressing the	
	fact that the applicants had earlier moved an	
	application on the self same issue.	
	We have perused the records of OA-1281 of	
	2014. We find that Soma Guchhait and Arpita Mondal	
	Roy had earlier moved an application being OA-1281	

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.

Case No.		
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary 3
	of 2014; Sulekha Hansda & 8 Ors. Vs. The State of West	
	Bengal and Others, which was disposed of by passing	
	an order which is as under:	
	"The petitioner does not wish to file	
	any rejoinder. As such, we have proceeded	
	to consider the prayer made in the	
	application on the basis of the reply and	
	the materials available. In the light of the	
	submissions made by Ld.Counsel for the	
	petitioner and the Respondents, we find	
	that unfortunately, the present petitioner	
	No.5 could not qualify in the written	
	examination and while the others could	
	not be successful in the overall assessment.	
	A a avala ava a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
	As such we see no reason as to	
	why the Tribunal should interfere at this	
	stage. Accordingly, the petition is	
	disposed of on the aforesaid score".	
	Though we were about to dismiss the	
	application, however, on the prayer of Mr.Ray, we	
	allow the application to be withdrawn with no liberty	
	anow the application to be withterawn with no liberty	

Form No.		
	Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.	
Case No.		
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date

Case No.				
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2		Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar	
1			3	
		to the applicant to challenge the self same selection		
	process in future.	process in future.		
	The records of OA-1281 of 2014 is directed to be detached from the file and be sent down to the			
	Registry.			
	(P. RAMESH KUMAR) MEMBER (A)	(SOUMITRA PAL) CHAIRMAN		
BLR				